

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
nited States Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgania 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,105		07/27/2001	Joseph M. Cannon	J.M. CANNON 123-111-70	9776
27964	7590	11/03/2003		EXAM	IINER
HITT GAR	NES P.C.			KIM, A	HSHIK
P.O. BOX 83	32570				
RICHARDSON, TX 75083				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2024	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/917,105	CANNON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ahshik Kim	2876		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in E

Condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount he fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: None.
Claim(s) objected to: 26,36 and 40.
Claim(s) rejected: 22-25,27-35,37-39 and 41.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>
METAEL O-LEE SUPERUSORY PATENT EXAMINER LECTHOLOGY CENTER 2800

Application No. 009/917.105

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the outstanding amendment, the Applicant amended claims incorporating allowable matters into independent claims. However, Examiner respectully suggests Applicant to insert the phrase of claim 26 (or 36, and 40) into the independent claims. For example, claim 22 should read "an automated telephony interface... a session initiator..... to initiate faxing or phoning based on in a first orientation in which said information is read by said scanner in a first direction or a scond orientation in which said information is read by said scanner in a second direction along said card." Or claims should be written similarly including first and second orientation. Moreover, claims 23-27 would be under 112 issince "bio initiate faxing or phoning based on futher on a user-input entered into said scanner or said session initiator" would contradict allowable subject matter. Number entered via manual user-input device would not have "orientation" characteristic ed in the objected claims. Applicant is respitefully suggest to amend the claims as suggested and cancel dependent claims which would have enablment issue with alloawable independent/dependent claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 22-25,27-35,37-39 and 41 are rejected; and claims 26, 36, and 40 remain objected. As mentioned in paragraph 5-c, amendment does not place the claims in allowable form.